

AD-A104 939 TEXAS A AND M UNIV COLLEGE STATION INST OF STATISTICS F/G 12/1
MINIMUM MEAN SQUARE ERROR PREDICTION OF AUTOREGRESSIVE MOVING A--ETC(U)
APR 81 H J NEWTON, M PAGANO DAAG29-80-C-0070
UNCLASSIFIED TR-N-24 NL

| UF |
AD-A104-939

END
INITIATED
10 81
DTIC

ADA104939

INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS
Phone 713-595-3141

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843

REF ID: A64226T
LEVEL



MINIMUM MEAN SQUARE ERROR PREDICTION OF AUTOREGRESSIVE
MOVING AVERAGE TIME SERIES

by H. Joseph Newton and Marcello Pagano

Institute of Statistics Statistical Science Division
Texas A&M University State University of New York
 at Buffalo

Technical Report No. N-24

April 1981

DT
ELEC
S OCT 1 1981
D

Texas A & M Research Foundation
Project No. 4226T

"Robust Statistical Data Analysis and Modeling"

Sponsored by the Office of Naval Research

Professor Emanuel Parzen, Principal Investigator

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

6 1 9 30 1 38

FILE COPY

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

(14) TR-N-24

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report N-24	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-AJ04939	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (Include Subtitle) Minimum Mean Square Error Prediction of Auto-regressive Moving Average Time Series	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical rep.	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s) H. Joseph Newton and Marcello Pagano	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S) DAAG29-86-C-0070 ONR N0001481MP10001	9. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
10. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Texas A&M University Institute of Statistics College Station, TX 77843	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12277 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	12. REPORT DATE 11 Apr 81	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 27
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	16. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NA	19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Autoregressive Moving Average Time Series; Toeplitz matrix; modified Cholesky Decomposition	20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A computer program is described and presented for calculating finite memory predictors and prediction variances for autoregressive moving average time series models. The Cholesky decomposition algorithm is used and a number of simplifying results are described and implemented in the program.

347380

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
By	
Distribution	
Availability	
Dist	Aval
	Special

A

MINIMUM MEAN SQUARE ERROR PREDICTION OF AUTOREGRESSIVE MOVING AVERAGE TIME SERIES

By H. Joseph Newton and Marcello Pagano

*Institute of Statistics, Texas A&M University and
Dept. of Biostatistics, Harvard University, U.S.A.*

Keywords: Autoregressive Moving Average Time Series; Toeplitz Matrix;
Modified Cholesky Decomposition

LANGUAGE

ISO Fortran

DESCRIPTION AND PURPOSE

Let $Y(1), \dots, Y(T)$ be a sample realization of a mixed autoregressive moving average time series $\{Y(t), t = 0, \pm 1, \dots\}$ of order (p,q) (denoted ARMA(p,q)). Thus

$$\sum_{j=0}^p \alpha(j)Y(t-j) = \sum_{k=0}^q \beta(k)\epsilon(t-k), \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

for constants p, q , $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 1$, $\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(p)$, $\beta(1), \dots, \beta(q)$, where $\epsilon(\cdot)$ is a white noise series of zero mean uncorrelated random variables having variance σ^2 . The zeros of the complex polynomials $g(z) = \sum_{j=0}^p \alpha(j)z^j$ and $h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^q \beta(k)z^k$ are assumed to be outside the unit circle.

Subroutine MXPD calculates exact, memory h , horizon t , minimum mean square error linear predictors $\hat{Y}(t+h|t)$ and (optionally) prediction variances $\sigma_{t,h}^2$ of $Y(t+h)$ given $Y(1), \dots, Y(t)$ for $h = h_1, \dots, h_2$ and $t = t_1, \dots, t_2$. Thus $\hat{Y}(t+h|t)$ is that linear combination of $Y(1), \dots, Y(t)$ closest to $Y(t+h)$ in the mean square sense and $\sigma_{t,h}^2 = E(Y(t+h) - \hat{Y}(t+h|t))^2$ is the attained minimum mean square prediction variance.

If $q = 0$, Y is a pure autoregressive process of order p (AR(p)), while

if $p = 0$, Y is a pure moving average process of order q ($MA(q)$). Subroutines ARPD and MAPD calculate $Y(t+h|t)$ and (optionally) $\sigma_{t,h}^2$ for the $AR(p)$ and $MA(q)$ cases respectively. Separate subroutines are used for these cases due to significant simplifications in their algorithms from the general $ARMA(p,q)$ case.

NUMERICAL METHOD

The method is based on numerous special properties of the modified Cholesky decomposition (MCD, see Wilkinson (1967)) of the autocovariance matrix of an $ARMA(p,q)$ process. (See Newton and Pagano (1980) for details).

Let $[A]_{ij}$ denote the (i,j) th element of the matrix A and define $A_K \equiv \text{TOEPL}\{a(0), \dots, a(K-1)\}$ to be the $(K \times K)$ symmetric Toeplitz matrix having $[A_K]_{ij} = a(|i-j|)$. Let $A_K = L_K D_K L_K^T$, $K \geq i$ be the MCD of the symmetric positive definite nested matrices A_1, A_2, \dots , i.e. $[A_{K+1}]_{ij} = [A_K]_{ij}$, for $i, j \leq K$, L_K is a unit lower triangular $(K \times K)$ matrix, and D_K is a diagonal matrix. Then the sequences of matrices L_K, D_K, L_K^{-1} and D_K^{-1} are also nested and we write (for example) the (i,j) th element of any L_K for $K \geq \max(i,j)$ as $[L]_{ij}$.

Thus $[D]_{11} = [A]_{11}$,

$$[L]_{ij} = [[A]_{ij} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} [L]_{i\ell} [D]_{\ell\ell} [L]_{j\ell}]^{1/2} [D]_{jj}^{1/2},$$

$$[D]_{ii} = [A]_{ii} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} [D]_{\ell\ell} [(L)_{i\ell}^2 + (D)_{\ell\ell}]^{1/2}, \quad i \leq j \leq K.$$

We define the following quantities for $K \geq 1$

- a) $R_Z = \text{TOEPL}\{R_Z(0), \dots, R_Z(K-1)\} = L_Z D_Z L_Z^T$, where
 $R_Z(v) = E[Z(t)Z(t+v)]$, $v = 0, \pm 1, \dots$ and $Z(\cdot)$ is an $AR(p)$

process having coefficients $\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(p)$ and white noise variance σ^2 .

- b) $\underline{x}_K = (x(1), \dots, x(K))^T = L_{Z,K}^{-1} \underline{y}_K$, where
 $\underline{y}_K^T = (Y(1), \dots, Y(K))$.
- c) $R_{X,K} = E\{\underline{x}_K \underline{x}_K^T\} = L_{X,K} D_{X,K} L_{X,K}^T$. Note that $R_{X,K} = L_{Z,K}^{-1} R_{Y,K} L_{Z,K}^{-T}$.
- d) $e_K = (e(1), \dots, e(K))^T = L_{X,K}^{-1} \underline{x}_K$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t+h|t) &= X(t+h|t) - \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha(j) Y(t+h-j|t) \\ \sigma_{t,h}^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} [L_Z L_X]_{t+h, t+h-k}^2 [D_X]_{t+h-k, t+h-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \left\{ [D_X]_{t+h-k, t+h-k} \left[\sum_{\ell=t+h-k}^{t+h} [L_Z]_{t+h, \ell} [L_X]_{\ell, t+h-k} \right]^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $Y(t+h-j|t) = Y(t+h-j)$ if $j \geq h$, and

$$X(t+h|t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=h}^q [L_X]_{t+h, t+h-k} e(t+h-k), & h = 1, \dots, q \\ 0 & , h > q \end{cases}$$

Thus to obtain $Y(t+h|t)$ and $\sigma_{t,h}^2$ for $h = h_1, \dots, h_2$ and $t = t_1, \dots, t_2$ we need L_{Z,t_2+h_2} , $L_{Z,t_2+h_2}^{-1}$, L_{X,t_2+h_2} , and D_{X,t_2+h_2} . Significant reductions in computing time and storage requirements in obtaining the elements of these matrices are afforded by noting:

NOTE 1 Computing $L_{Z,t_2+h_2}^{-1}$

The j^{th} row of $L_{Z,K}^{-1}$ is given by

$$\ell_j^T = \begin{cases} (1, 0_{K-1}^T), & j = 1 \\ (\alpha_{j-1}(j-1), \dots, \alpha_{j-1}(1), 1, 0_{K-j}^T), & 2 \leq j \leq p \\ (0_{j-p-1}^T, \alpha(p), \dots, \alpha(1), 1, 0_{K-j}^T), & p+1 \leq j \leq K \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha_k(\ell) = \alpha(\ell)$ if $k \geq p$ and

$$\alpha_j(i) = \frac{\alpha_{j+1}(i) - \alpha_{j+1}(j+1)\alpha_{j+1}(j+1-i)}{1-\alpha_{j+1}^2(j+1)} ; i = 1, \dots, j < p . . . \quad (2)$$

Thus there are only $p(p+1)/2$ distinct nonzero, nonone elements in $L_{Z,K}^{-1}$,

$K \geq p + 1$ and $X(1) = Y(1)$ while

$$X(j) = \begin{cases} Y(j) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \alpha_{j-\ell}(\ell)Y(j-\ell) , & j = 2, \dots, p \\ Y(j) + \sum_{\ell=1}^p \alpha(\ell)Y(j-\ell) , & j > p \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

NOTE 2 Computing L_{Z,t_2+h_2}

Let $\gamma(0) = 1, \gamma(1), \gamma(2), \dots$ be the coefficients of the MA(∞) representation of $Z(\cdot)$, i.e.

$$\gamma(j) = - \sum_{\ell=\max(0, j-p)}^{j-1} \alpha(j-\ell)\gamma(\ell) , \quad j \geq 1 . \quad (4)$$

Then

$$[L_Z]_{p+j, p+j-\ell} = \gamma(\ell) , \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq j \leq K-p \quad (5)$$

$$[L_Z]_{p+j, k} = - \sum_{r=1}^p \alpha(r) [L_Z]_{p+j-r, \ell} , \quad \ell = 1, \dots, p-1, \quad j \geq 1 . \quad (6)$$

$$L_{Z,p} = (L_{Z,p}^{-1})^{-1} \Rightarrow [L_Z]_{j, j-k} = - \sum_{r=j-k+1}^j [L_Z]_{ir} [L_Z]_{r, j-k} , \quad k < j \leq p \quad (7)$$

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} [L_Z]_{K,j}^2 = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^K [L_Z]_{K,j}^2 = R_Z(0)/\sigma^2 \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \gamma^2(k) = R_Z(0)/\sigma^2 \quad (10)$$

$$R_Z(0) = \sigma^2 / \prod_{j=1}^p (1 - \alpha_j^2(j)) \quad (11)$$

By (5) and (6) the distinct elements of L_{Z,t_2+h_2} are contained in its first p columns, the last of which is $(0_{p-1}^T, 1, \gamma(1), \dots, \gamma(t_2+h_2-p+j))^T$.

Thus MXPD finds the elements of L_{Z,t_2+h_2} by:

- i) finding $L_{Z,p} = (L_{Z,p}^{-1})^{-1}$,
- ii) calculating $\gamma(1), \dots, \gamma(M_1-p)$ (by (4)) where M_1-p is the smallest integer such that

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{M_1-p} \gamma^2(j) - R_Z(0)/\sigma^2 \right| < \delta, \quad (12)$$

iii) use (6) to obtain remaining elements of rows $p+1, \dots, M_1$ of first $p-1$ columns of L_{Z,t_2+h_2} . Note that (10) says that such an M_1 exists (which hopefully is much less than t_2+h_2) while (8) and (9) say that all further elements of the first p columns of L_{Z,t_2+h_2} are arbitrarily close to zero. Thus there are $M_1 p$ elements to calculate and store for L_{Z,t_2+h_2} .

NOTE 3 Computing L_{X,t_2+h_2} , D_{X,t_2+h_2}

To compute L_{X,t_2+h_2} and D_{X,t_2+h_2} we note that (defining $\alpha_0(0) = 1$)

$$[L_X]_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{m=\max(1,j-p)}^j \alpha_{j-1}(j-m) \sum_{\ell=\max(1,i-p)}^i \alpha_{i-1}(i-\ell) R_Y(\ell-m), & i, j \geq 1 \\ R_X(|i-j|) & , i, j > p, |i-j| \leq q \\ 0 & , 1 \leq j \leq p, i > p, \text{ and } i - j > q \text{ or if} \\ & i, j > p \text{ and } |i-j| > q \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

$$R_X(v) = \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{q-v} \beta(k)\beta(k+v), \quad v = 0, \dots, q. \quad (14)$$

Thus the distinct elements of Γ_{X,t_2+h_2} are $R_X(0), \dots, R_X(q)$ and the elements in the first $p+q$ rows and p columns. Further only $R_Y(0), \dots, R_Y(p+q)$ are required to obtain Γ_{X,t_2+h_2} . These elements are obtained via subroutine MXCV by solving first for $v = 0, \dots, \max(p,q)$

$$\sum_{j=0}^p \alpha(j)R_Y(v-j) = \sum_{k=v}^q \beta(k)R_{Y\varepsilon}(v-k) = 0, \quad v > q \quad (15)$$

where $R_{Y\varepsilon}(v) \equiv E\{Y(t)\varepsilon(t+v)\} = \delta_v \sigma^2$ if $v \geq 0$, where δ is the Kronecker delta, and

$$R_{Y\varepsilon}(-v) = \beta(v)\sigma^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{\min(v,p)} \alpha(j)R_{Y\varepsilon}(-v+j), \quad v = 1, \dots, q. \quad (16)$$

Then $R_Y(\max(p,q)+1), \dots, R_Y(p+q)$ are obtained by (15) for $v = \max(p,q)+1, \dots, p+q$.

To obtain L_{X,t_2+h_2} and D_{X,t_2+h_2} we note that the pattern of zeros in L_{X,t_2+h_2} is the same as that in the lower triangle of Γ_{X,t_2+h_2} and that the required elements of $\Gamma_{X,p+q}$ can be calculated as needed (by (13)) without storing them.

Thus $L_{X,p+q}$ is calculated and stored in one matrix. To obtain the q nonzero nonone, elements of the rows of the rest of L_{X,t_2+h_2} we have:

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} [L_X]_{K,K-j} = \beta(j), \quad j = 1, \dots, q$$

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} [D_X]_{K,K} = \sigma^2.$$

Thus rows $p+q+1, \dots$ are calculated and stored in a matrix having q columns until the elements of L_X, D_X have converged (at row M_2 say), i.e.

$$| [L_X]_{M_2,j} - \beta(q-j+1) | < \delta, \quad | [D_X]_{M_2,M_2} - \sigma^2 | < \delta, \quad j = M_2-q, \dots, M_2-1 \quad (17)$$

Further, $e(1) = x(1)$ while

$$e(j) = \begin{cases} x(j) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} [L_X]_{j,\ell} e(\ell) & , j = 2, \dots, p+q \\ x(j) - \sum_{\ell=j-q}^{j-1} [L_X]_{j,\ell} e(\ell) & , j = p+q+1, \dots, M_2 \\ x(j) - \sum_{\ell=1}^q \beta(\ell) e(j-\ell) & , j > M_2 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Also, if the $\sigma_{t,h}^2$ are not to be calculated then L_Z need not be calculated.

NOTE 4 Convergence of $L_Z L_X$

One further simplification is given by

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [L_Z L_X]_{K,K-j} = \beta_\infty(j) \quad , \quad j \geq 1$$

where the $\beta_\infty(\cdot)$ are the coefficients of the MA(∞) representation of the ARMA(p,q) process Y. Thus for any $t \geq \max(M_1, M_2)$ we have

$$\sigma_{t,h}^2 = \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \beta_\infty^2(k) \quad ,$$

while if $t+h \geq M_1$ or $t+h \geq M_2$, the "converged" values are used for elements of the $(t+h)$ th rows of L_Z , L_X in the expressions for $Y(t+h|t)$ and $\sigma_{t,h}^2$.

NOTE 5 From these observations we have Basic Structure of MXPD

- 1) Check input parameters.
- 2) Find $R_Y(0), \dots, R_Y(p+q)$ by (15) and (16) via subroutine MXCV (stored in the constant RY0 and (p+q)-vector RY).
- 3) Find $L_{Z,p}^{-1}$ and $R_Z(0)$ by (1), (2), and (11) (stored in (p×p) matrix ALZI and constant RZ0).

- iv) Find M_1 and $[L_{Z,M_1+p}]_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \leq M_1$, $1 \leq j \leq p$ by (7), (6), and (12) (stored in the integer MONE and $(M_1 \times p)$ matrix ALZ).
- v) Find $R_X(0), \dots, R_X(q)$ by (14) via subroutine MACV (stored in constant RX0 and q-vector RX).
- vi) Find $L_{X,p+q}$ and $D_{X,p+q}$ (stored in the $(p+q) \times (p+q)$ matrix ALX1 and in the first $(p+q)$ elements of the M_2 -vector DX).
- vii) Find M_2 and $[L_X]_{ij}, [D_X]_{ij}$, $i = p+q+1, \dots, M_2$, $j = i-q, \dots, i-1$ (stored in the integer MTWO and the $(M_2 \times q)$ matrix ALX2 and the rest of the (M_2) -vector DX).
- viii) Find X_{t_2}, e_{t_2} by (3) and (18) (stored in the t_2 -vectors X and E).
- ix) Find $Y(t+h|t)$, for $h = h_1, \dots, h_2$, $t = t_1, \dots, t_2$ (stored in the $(t_2-t_1+1)(h_2-h_1+1)$ -vectors YPD where $Y(t+h|t) = YPD((t-t_1)(h_2-h_1+1)+(h-h_1+1))$).
- x) Find (optionally) $\sigma_{t,h}^2$ which is stored like YPD in a $(t_2-t_1+1)(h_2-h_1+1)$ -vector PVAR.

NOTE 6 Taking advantage of convergence

To take advantage of the convergence in L_Z , L_X , and D_X the user specifies an absolute convergence criterion δ and integers IROWS1, IROWS2 as the row DIMENSION of arrays ALZ and ALX2, DX respectively. Thus IROWS1 and IROWS2 must be chosen to exceed what can reasonably be expected to be M_1 and M_2 respectively or else a nonconvergence failure indicator will be returned in IFAULT. Of course if IROWS1 or IROWS2 are given the value t_2+h_2 then convergence need not be reached for the algorithm to finish properly. Setting IROWS1 or IROWS2 smaller than t_2+h_2 allows the possibility of obtaining predictors for long time series with a minimum amount of required storage.

Further, if the $\sigma_{t,h}^2$ are not to be calculated, the matrix ALZ is not needed and IROWS1 can be set equal to 1.

NOTE 7 Algorithm for ARPD

For $q = 0$ we have $\Gamma_{Z,K} = \Gamma_{Y,K}$, $\Gamma_{X,K} = D_{Z,K} \Rightarrow L_{X,K} = I_K$, $D_{X,K} = D_{Z,K}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t+h|t) &= - \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha(j) Y(t+h-j|t) \\ \sigma_{t,h}^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} [L_Z]_{t+h,t+h-k}^2 [D_Z]_{t+h-k,t+h-k} \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \gamma^2(k) \quad \text{if } t > p . \end{aligned}$$

NOTE 8 Algorithm for MAPD

For $p = 0$ we have $\Gamma_{Z,K} = I_K \Rightarrow L_{Z,K} = D_{Z,K} = I_K$ and $\Gamma_{X,K} = \text{TOEPL}\{R_X(0), \dots, R_X(q), 0, \dots, 0\}$, (so that calculation of ALX1 is avoided), $L_{X,K} e_K = Y_K$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t+h|t) &= \begin{cases} \sum_{k=h}^q [L_X]_{t+h,t+h-k} e(t+h-k) & , t+h < M_2 \\ \sum_{k=h}^q \beta(k) e(t+h-k) & , t+h \geq M_2 \\ 0 & , h > q \end{cases} \\ \sigma_{t,h}^2 &= \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} [L_X]_{t+h,t+h-k}^2 [D_X]_{t+h-k,t+h-k} & , t < M_2 \\ \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \beta^2(k) & , t \geq M_2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

STRUCTURE

SUBROUTINE MXPD (NP, NQ, ALPHA, BETA, SIGSQ, Y, IOPT, NT1, NT2, NH1, NH2, NYPD, NPVAR, NPPNH2, IROWS1, IROWS2, IROWS3, DEL, RYE, RYEO, RY, RYO, IP, YWK, RZO, RX, RXO, ALZI, ALZ, ALX1, ALX2, DX, MONE, MTWO, X, E, YPD, PVAR, IFAULT).

Formal parameters

NP	Integer	input: order of AR part of model
NQ	Integer	input: order of MA part of model
ALPHA	Real Array (NP)	input: coefficients of AR part of model
BETA	Real Array (NQ)	input: coefficients of MA part of model
SIGSQ	Real	input: variance of white noise in model
Y	Real Array (NT2)	input: data vector
IOPT	Integer	input: option switch equal to: 1 if both predictors and variances to be calculated 0 if only predictors desired
NT1	Integer	input: t_1 (first memory)
NT2	Integer	input: t_2 (last memory)
NH1	Integer	input: h_1 (first horizon)
NH2	Integer	input: h_2 (last horizon)
NYPD	Integer.	input: $(NT2-NT1+1)(NH2-NH1+1)$
NPVAR	Integer	input: same as NYPD if IOPT = 1, ≥ 1 if IOPT=0.
NPPNH2	Integer	input: $NP + NH2$
IROWS1	Integer	input: row dimension of ALZ in calling pro- gram ($\geq NP+2$ if IOPT =1, ≥ 1 if IOPT=0) see note 6 above
IROWS2	Integer	input: row dimension of ALX2, DX in calling program ($\geq NP+NQ+1$) See note 6 above.
IROWS3	Integer	input: row dimension of RY, IP, ALZI, ALX1 in calling program ($\geq NP+NQ$)
DEL	Real	input: absolute convergence criterion (see (12) and (17)).
RYE	Real Array (NQ)	output: $R_{YE}(-1), \dots, R_{YE}(-q)$
RYEO	Real	output: $R_{YE}(0)$
RY	Real Array (NP+NQ)	output: $R_Y(1), \dots, R_Y(p+q)$
RYO	Real	output: $R_Y(0)$
IP	Integer Array (IROWS3)	work:
YWK	Real Array (NPPNH2)	work:
RZO	Real	output: $R_Z(0)$
IX	Real Array (NQ)	output: $R_X(1), \dots, R_X(q)$
RXO	Real	output: $R_X(0)$
ALZI	Real Array (IROWS3, IROWS3)	output: $L_{Z,p}^{-1}$
ALZ	Real Array (IROWS1, NP)	output: if IOPT = 1, ALZ contains $[L_Z]_{ij}$, $j = 1, \dots, p, i = 1, \dots, M_1$, if IOPT = 0, ALZ is not used.

ALX1	Real Array (IROWS3,IROWS3)	output: $L_{X,p+q}$
ALX2	Real Array (IROWS2,NQ)	output: $[L_X]_{ij}, i = p+q+1, \dots, M_2, j = i-q, \dots, i-1.$
DX	Real Array (IROWS2)	output: $[D_X]_i, i = 1, \dots, M_2$
MONE	Integer	output: M_1 (see note 6 above)
NTWO	Integer	output: M_2 (see note 6 above)
X	Real Array (NT2)	output: $X(1), \dots, X(t_2)$
F	Real Array (NT2)	output: $e(1), \dots, e(t_2)$
YPD	Real Array (NYPD)	output: $((Y(t+h t), h = h_1, \dots, h_2), t = t_1, \dots, t_2)$
PVAR	Real Array (NPVAR)	output: if IOPT = 1, $((\sigma_{t,h}^2, h = h_1, \dots, h_2), t = t_1, \dots, t_2)$ if IOPT = 0, PVAR not used.
IFAULT	Integer	output: failure indicator

Failure Indications

Value of IFAULT	Meaning
0	no failure
1	$NP < 1$, $NQ < 1$, or IOPT not 0 or 1
2	$NT1 < NP + NQ$ or $NT1 > NT2$
3	$NH1 < 1$ or $NH1 > NH2$
4	$NYPD < (NT2 - NT1 + 1)(NH2 - NH1 + 1)$ or $NPVAR < 1$ or IOPT = 1 and $NPVAR < (NT2 - NT1 + 1)(NH2 - NH1 + 1)$
5	$IROWS1 < NP + 2$ and IOPT = 1 or $IROWS1 < 1$ or $NPPNH2 < NP + NH2$
6	$IROWS2 < NP + NQ + 1$
7	$IROWS3 < NP + NQ$
8	$SIGSQ = 0$
9	Singular matrix in subroutine MXCV
10	$A_n \alpha_j(j) \cdot 1$ (see(2))
11	$IOPT = 1$, $IROWS1 < NT2 + NH2 - NP$, and convergence not reached.
12	Nonpositive $[D_X]_{ii}$ encountered
13	$IROWS2 < NT2 + NH2 - NP - NQ$ and convergence not reached.

SUBROUTINE ARPD (NP, ALPHA, SIGSQ, Y, IOPT, NT1, NT2, NH1, NH2, NYPD, NPPNH2, YWK, GAM, YPD, PVAR, IFAULT).

Formal parameters

NP	Integer	input: order of AR model
ALPHA	Real Array (NP)	input: coefficients of AR model
SIGSQ	Real	input: variance of white noise
Y	Real Array (NT2)	input: data vector

IOPT	Integer	input: option switch equal to 1 if both predictors and variances to be calculated 0 if only predictors desired
NT1	Integer	input: t_1 (first memory)
NT2	Integer	input: t_2 (last memory)
NH1	Integer	input: h_1 (first horizon)
NH2	Integer	input: h_2 (last horizon)
NYPD	Integer	input: $(NT2-NT1+1)(NH2-NH1+1)$
NPPNH2	Integer	input: NP + NH2
YWK	Real Array (NPPNH2)	workspace:
GAM	Real Array (NPPNH2)	output: $\gamma(1), \dots, \gamma(NP+NH2)$
YPD	Real Array (NYPD)	output: $((Y(t+h t), h = h_1, \dots, h_2),$ $t = t_1, \dots, t_2)$
PVAR	Real Array (NH2)	output: if IOPT = 1, $\sigma_{t,h}^2$, $h = 1, \dots, h_2$, if IOPT = 0, PVAR not used.
IFAULT	Integer	output: failure indicator

Failure Indications

Value of IFAULT	Meaning
0	no failure
1	$NP < 1$
2	$NT1 < NP$ or $NT1 > NT2$
3	$NH1 < 1$ or $NH1 > NH2$
4	$SIGSQ \leq 0$
5	IOPT not 0 or 1 or $NYPD < (NT2-NT1+1)$ $(NH2-NH1+1)$ or $NPPNH2 < NP+NH2$

SUBROUTINE MAPD (NQ, BETA, SIGSQ, Y, IOPT, NT1, NT2, NH1, NH2, NYPD, NPVAR,
IROWS, DEL, RX, RX0, DX, ALX, MTWO, E, YPD, PVAR, IFAULT)

Normal parameters

NQ	Integer	input: order of MA model
BETA	Real Array (NQ)	input: coefficients of MA model
SIGSQ	Real	input: variance of white noise
Y	Real Array (NT2)	input: data vector
IOPT	Integer	input: option switch equal to: 1 if both predictors and variances to be calculated 0 if only predictors desired
NT1	Integer	input: t_1 (first memory)
NT2	Integer	input: t_2 (last memory)
NH1	Integer	input: h_1 (first horizon)
NH2	Integer	input: h_2 (last horizon)

NYPD	Integer	input: $(NT2-NH1+1)(NH2-NH1+1)$
NPVAR	Integer	input: Same as NYPD if IOPT = 1, 1 if IOPT = 0.
IROWS	Integer	Input: row dimension of ALX and DX in calling program (see notes 6 and 8 above)
DEL	Real	input: absolute convergence criterion
RX	Real Array (NQ)	output: $R_X(1), \dots, R_X(q)$
RX0	Real	output: $R_X(0)$
DX	Real Array (IROWS)	output: $[D_X]_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, M_2$
ALX	Real Array (IROWS,NQ)	output: $[L_X]_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, M_2,$ $j = i-q, \dots, i-1$
MTWO	Integer	output: M_2 (see notes 6 and 8 above)
E	Real Array (NT2)	output: $e(1), \dots, e(t_2)$
YPD	Real Array (NYPD)	output: $((Y(t+h t), h = h_1, \dots, h_2),$ $t = t_1, \dots, t_2)$
PVAR	Real Array (NPVAR)	output: if IOPT = 1, $((\sigma_{t,h}^2), h = h_1, \dots,$ $h_2), t = t_1, \dots, t_2)$, if IOPT = 0, PVAR not used
FAULT	Integer	output: failure indicator

Failure Indications

Value of IFAULT	Meaning
0	no failure
1	$NQ < 1$ or $IOPT$ not 0 or 1
2	$NT1 < NQ+1$ or $NT1 > NT2$
3	$NH1 < 1$ or $NH1 > NH2$
4	$NYPD < (NT2-NT1+1)(NH2-NH1+1)$ or $NPVAR < 1$ or $IOPT = 1$ and $NPVAR < (NT2-NT1+1)(NH2-NH1+1)$
5	$IROWS < 2$
6	$SIGSQ < 0$
7	nonpositive $[D_X]_{ii}$ encountered
8	$IROWS < NT2+NH2$ and convergence not reached

Auxiliary algorithms

SUBROUTINE MACV (NQ, BETA, SIGSQ, RX, RX0, IFAULT)

Normal parameters

NQ	Integer	Input: order of MA model
BETA	Real Array (NQ)	Input: coefficients of MA model
SIGSQ	Real	Input: variance of white noise

RX	Real Array (NQ)	output: $R_X(1), \dots, R_X(NQ)$
RX0	Real	output: $R_X(0)$
IFAULT	Integer	output: failure indicator equal to: 0 if no failure 1 if $NQ < 1$

SUBROUTINE MXCV (NP, NQ, M, IROWS, ALPHA, BETA, SIGSQ, RYE, RYEO, WKM,
IP, RY, RYO, IFAULT)

Formal parameters

NP	Integer	input: order of AR part of model
NQ	Integer	input: order of MA part of model
M	Integer	input: highest lag to calculate ($M \geq \max(NP, NQ)$)
IROWS	Integer	input: row dimension of WKM, IP in calling program (IROWS $> \max(NP, NQ)$)
ALPHA	Real Array (NP)	input: coefficients of AR part of model
BETA	Real Array (NQ)	input: coefficients of MA part of model
SIGSQ	Real	input: variance of white noise
RYE	Real Array (NQ)	output: $R_{YE}(-1), \dots, R_{YE}(-NQ)$
RYEO	Real	output: $R_{YE}(0)$
WKM	Real Array (IROWS, IROWS)	workspace:
IP	Integer Array (IROWS)	workspace:
RY	Real Array (M)	output: $R_Y(1), \dots, R_Y(M)$
RYO	Real	output: $R_Y(0)$
IFAULT	Integer	output: failure indicator

Failure Indications

Value of IFAULT	Meaning
0	no failure
1	$NP \leq 1$ or $NQ \leq 1$
2	$M \leq \max(NP, NQ)$
3	$IROWS \leq \max(NP, NQ)$
4	singular matrix encountered

The subroutines DECOMP and SOLV as described by Moler (1972) are called
by subroutine MXCV.

RESTRICTIONS, TIME, STORAGE

If the zeros of $g(z)$ are not outside the unit circle, then one of the $\alpha_j(j)$ will be greater than or equal to one in magnitude thus giving IFAULT = 10 in MXPD. If the zeros of $h(z)$ are not outside the unit circle then an element of D_X will become nonpositive thus giving IFAULT = 12 in MXPD or IFAULT = 7 in MAPD.

The bulk of storage and computing time in MXPD is devoted to the $M_1 \times p$ matrix L_Z and the $M_2 \times q$ matrix L_X . The values M_1 and M_2 increase as the smallest zeros of $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ approach the unit circle.

REFERENCES

- Moler, C.B. (1972). Algorithm 423. Linear equation solver. Comm. Ass. Comp. Mach., 274.
- Newton, H.J. and Pagano, M. (1980). The finite memory prediction of covariance stationary time series. Submitted for publication.
- Wilkinson, J.H. (1967). The solution of ill-conditioned linear equations", in Mathematical Methods for Digital Computers II, A. Ralston and H.S. Wilf, eds, 65-93.

```

SUBROUTINE MXPD(NP,NQ,ALPHA,BETA,SIGSQ,Y,IOPT,NT1,NT2,NH1,NH2,
1NYPD,NPVAR,NPPNH2,IROWS1,IROWS2,IROWS3,DEL,RYE,RYE0,RY,RY0,IP,
1YWK,RZ0,RX,RX0,ALZ1,ALZ,ALX1,ALX2,DX,MONE,MTWO,X,E,YPD,PVAR,
1FAULT)

C THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES PREDICTORS YPD AND (OPTIONALLY)
C PREDICTION VARIANCES PVAR FOR HORIZONS NH1,...,NH2 EACH FOR
C MEMORIES NT1,...,NT2.

C
DIMENSION ALPHA(NP),BETA(NQ),Y(NT2),RYE(NQ),RY(IROWS3),
1IP(IROWS3),ALZ1(IROWS3,IROWS3),ALZ(IROWS1,NP),YWK(NPPNH2),
1ALX1(IROWS3,IROWS3),ALX2(IROWS2,NQ),DX(IROWS2),X(NT2),RX(NQ),
1E(NT2),YPD(NYPD),PVAR(NPVAR)
DATA ZERO,ONE,EPS/0.0,1.0,1.E-10/

C CHECK INPUT PARAMETERS :
C
IFault=1
IF(NP.LT.1.OR.NQ.LT.1.OR.IOPT.LT.0.OR.IOPT.GT.1) GO TO 999
IFault=2
IF(NT1.LE.NP+NQ.OR.NT2.LT.NT1) GO TO 999
IFault=3
IF(NH1.LT.1.OR.NH2.LT.NH1) GO TO 999
IFault=4
NCK=(NT2-NT1+1)*(NH2-NH1+1)
IF(NYPD.LT.NCK) GO TO 999
IF(NPVAR.LT.1) GO TO 999
IF(NPVAR.LT.NCK.AND.IOPT.EQ.1) GO TO 999
IFault=5
IF(NPPNH2.LT.NP+NH2) GO TO 999
IF(IROWS1.LT.NP+2.AND.IOPT.EQ.1) GO TO 999
IF(IROWS1.LT.1) GO TO 999
IFault=6
IF(IROWS2.LT.NP+NQ+1) GO TO 999
IFault=7
IF(IROWS3.LT.NP+NQ) GO TO 999
IFault=8
IF(SIGSQ.LE.ZERO) GO TO 999

C FIND RY0,RY(1),...,RY(NP+NQ) :
C
NPPNQ=NP+NQ
CALL MXCV(NP,NQ,NPPNQ,IROWS3,ALPHA,BETA,SIGSQ,RYE,RYE0,
1ALX1,IP,RY,RY0,IFI)
IFault=9
IF(IF1.FQ.4) GO TO 999

C FIND ALZ1,RZ0 (ALZ1 INITIALIZED, ALPHA(J,I) FORMED IN ALX1(J,I),
C J,I.LE.NP, RZ0 FORMED, ALZ1 FORMED FROM ELEMENTS IN ALX1) :
C
IFault=10
DO 20 I=1,NPPNQ
DO 10 J=1,NPPNQ
10 ALZ1(I,J)=ZERO
20 ALZ1(I,I)=ONE
DO 30 I=1,NP
30 ALX1(NP,I)=ALPHA(I)

C
IF(NP.FQ.1) GO TO 50
NPM1=NP-1
DO 40 J=1,NPM1

```

```

JJ=NPMI-J+1
JJP1=JJ+1
PART=ALXI(JJP1,JJP1)
IF(PART.GE.ONE) GO TO 999
DEN=ONE-PART*PART
DO 40 I=1,JJ
JJPI1=JJPI-I
40 ALXI(JJ,I)=(ALXI(JJP1,I)-PART*ALXI(JJP1,JJPI1))/DEN
C
50 RZ0=SIGSQ
DO 60 J=1,NP
60 RZ0=RZ0/(ONE-ALXI(J,J)*ALXI(J,J))
C
IF(NP.EQ.1) GO TO 80
DO 70 J=2,NP
JM1=J-1
DO 70 I=1,JM1
JJ=JM1-I+1
70 ALZI(J,I)=ALXI(JM1,JJ)
80 CONTINUE
NPP1=NPP1
DO 90 I=NPP1,NPPNQ
IFST=I-NPP1
DO 90 J=1,NP
JJ=IFST+J
JI=NP-J+1
90 ALZI(I,JJ)=ALPHA(JI)
C
C IF(IOPT.EQ.1), FIND MONE AND ALZ (INVERT ALZ, FIND ELEMENTS
C OF NP TH COLUMN OF ALZ UNTIL CONVERGENCE.
C THEN FILL IN REST OF ALZ) :
C
IF(IOPT.EQ.0) GO TO 230
DO 110 I=1,NP
DO 100 J=1,NP
100 ALZ(I,J)=ZERO
110 ALZ(I,I)=ONE
IF(NP.EQ.1) GO TO 140
DO 130 J=2,NP
JM1=J-1
DO 130 K=1,JM1
C=ZERO
JMK=J-K
JMKP1=JMK+1
DO 120 IR=JMKP1,J
C=C-ALZ(J,IR)*ALZE(IR,JMK)
120
130 ALZ(J,JMK)=C
140 CONTINUE
C
CK=RZ0/SIGSQ
NPP1=NPP1
ALZ(NPP1,NP)=-ALPHA(1)
SUMSQ=ONE+ALPHA(1)*ALPHA(1)
MUP=MINO(ROWS1-NP,NT2+NH2-NP)
DO 160 J=2,MUP
LLOW=MAX0(0,J-NP)+1
LUP=J
ALD=ZERO
CC=ONE
DO 150 LL=LLOW,LUP
L=LL-1

```

```

JML=J-L
L1=NP+L
IF(L.GT.0) CC=ALZ(L1,NP)
150 ALD=ALD-ALPHA(JML)*CC
NPP J=NP+J
ALZ(NPPJ,NP)=ALD
SUMSQ=SUMSQ+ALD*ALD
IF(ABS(SUMSQ-CK).LT.DEL) GO TO 180
160 CONTINUE
IF(MUP.LT.NT2+NH2-NP) GO TO 170
MUNE=NT2+NH2
GO TO 190
170 CONTINUE
IFault=11
MONE=IRWNS1
GO TO 999
180 MONF=J+NP
190 CONTINUE
C
IF(NP.EQ.1) GO TO 220
NPM1=NP-1
M1MNP=MONE-NP
DO 210 J=1,M1MNP
NPP J=NP+J
DO 210 L=1,NPM1
C=ZERO
DO 200 IR=1,NP
NPPJMR=NPPJ-IR
200 C=C-ALPHA(IR)*ALZ(NPPJMR,L)
210 ALZ(NPPJ,L)=C
220 CONTINUE
C
C FIND RX0,RX(1),...,RX(NQ) :
C
CALL MACV(NQ,BETA,SIGSQ,RX,RX0,IF1)
C
C FIND ALXI(DX(1),...,DX(NP+NQ) (NOTE THAT ALPHA(I,J) FOR
C I.LE.J.LE.I.LT.NP IS IN ALZ(I+1,I-J+1)) :
C
230 CONTINUE
DO 250 I=1,NPPNQ
DO 240 J=1,NPPNQ
240 ALXI(I,J)=ZERO
250 ALXI(I,I)=ONE
IFault=12
C
DX(1)=RY0
DO 340 I=2,NPPNQ
IM1=I-1
DO 290 J=1,IM1
C=ZERO
DO 260 L=1,I
DO 260 M=1,J
ILMM=ABS(L-M)
IF(ILMM.EQ.0) C=C+ALZ(I,L)*ALZ(J,M)*RY0
IF(ILMM.GT.0) C=C+ALZ(I,L)*ALZ(J,M)*RY(ILMM)
260 CONTINUE
IF(J.EQ.1) GO TO 280
JM1=J-1
DO 270 L=1,JM1
C=C-ALXI(I,L)*DX(L)*ALXI(J,L)
270

```

```

280      ALXI(I,J)=C/DX(J)
290      CONTINUE
C=RX0
IF(I.GT.NP) GO TO 320
C=ZERO
DO 310 M=I,I
C1=ALZI(I,M)
C2=ZERO
DO 300 L=I,I
ILMM=IABS(M-L)
IF(ILMM.EQ.0) C2=C2+ALZI(I,L)*RY0
IF(ILMM.GT.0) C2=C2+ALZI(I,L)*RY(ILMM)
300      CONTINUE
310      C=C+C1*C2
320      DO 330 L=I,IM1
330      C=C-DX(L)*ALXI(I,L)*ALXI(I,L)
IF(C.LT.EPS) GO TO 999
340      DX(I)=C
C
C FIND MTWO, ALX2, AND THE REST OF DX (IN THIS SECTION.
C I AND J=I-NQ,...,I-1 REPRESENT THE INDICES OF THE NONZERO.
C NONZERO ELEMENTS OF THE MATRIX LSUBX. NOTE THAT IF INTEGERS
C M.LE.N.LE.NP+NQ, THEN LSUBX(N,M) IS STORED IN LSUBX(N,M)
C WHILE IF N.GT.NP+NQ, THEN LSUBX(N,M) IS IN ALX2(N-NP-NQ,
C NQ-(N-M)+1), M=N-NQ,...,N-1 :
C
IFault=12
IUP=MIN0(ROWS2-NP-NQ,NT2+NH2-NP-NQ)
DO 400 II=I,IUP
I=NPPNQ+II
IMNQ=I-NQ
ALX2(II,I)=RX(NQ)/DX(IMNQ)
IF(NQ.EQ.1) GO TO 370
DO 360 JJ=2,NQ
J=IMNQ+JJ-1
N01=NQ-JJ+1
J1=J-NPPNQ
C=RX(N01)
JJM1=JJ-1
DO 350 LL=1,JJM1
L=IMNQ+LL-1
J2=NQ-(J-L)+1
IF(J.LE.NPPNQ) C1=ALXI(J,L)
IF(J.GT.NPPNQ) C1=ALX2(J1,J2)
350      C=C-ALX2(II,LL)*DX(L)*C1
360      ALX2(II,JJ)=C/DX(J)
370      C=RX0
DO 380 L=I,NQ
LL=I-NQ+L-1
380      C=C-ALX2(II,L)*ALX2(II,L)*DX(LL)
DX(I)=C
IF(DX(I).LT.EPS) GO TO 999
C
DO 390 JJ=I,NQ
N0MJP1=NQ-JJ+1
390      IF(ABS(ALX2(II,JJ)-BETA(N0MJP1)).GT.DEL) GO TO 400
IF(ABS(DX(II)-SIG0).GT.DEL) GO TO 400
MTWO=II+NPPNQ
GO TO 420
400      CONTINUE
IF(IUP.LT.NT2+NH2-NPPNQ) GO TO 410

```

```

MTWO=NT2+NH2
GO TO 420
410 CONTINUE
FAULT=13
MTWO=IRWNS2-NPPNQ
GO TO 999
420 CONTINUE
C
C FIND X(1).....X(NT2),E(1).....E(NT2) :
C
NPP1=NPP+1
X(1)=Y(1)
IF(NP.EQ.1) GO TO 450
DO 440 J=2,NP
C=Y(J)
JML=J-1
DO 430 L=1,JML
JML=J-L
430 C=C+ALZI(J,JML)*Y(JML)
440 X(J)=C
450 DO 470 J=NPP1,NT2
C=Y(J)
DO 460 L=1,NP
JML=J-L
460 C=C+ALPHA(L)*Y(JML)
470 X(J)=C
C
E(1)=X(1)
DO 490 J=2,NPPNQ
C=X(J)
JML=J-1
DO 480 L=1,JML
C=C-ALXI(J,L)*E(L)
480 E(J)=C
MLOW=NPPNQ+1
MUP=MIN0(NT2,MTWO)
DO 510 J=MLOW,MUP
JJ=J-NPPNQ
C=X(J)
DO 500 L=1,NQ
LL=J-NQ+L-1
C=C-ALX2(JJ,L)*E(LL)
500 E(J)=C
IF(MUP.EQ.NT2) GO TO 540
MUPP1=MUP+1
DO 530 J=MUPP1,NT2
C=X(J)
DO 520 L=1,NQ
JML=J-L
C=C-BETA(L)*E(JML)
520 E(J)=C
530 E(J)=C
540 CONTINUE
C
C FIND YPD :
C
NPDPT=NH2-NH1+1
DO 630 NT=NT1,NT2
NSUFAR=(NT-NT1)*NPDPT
NTMNP=NT-NP
DO 550 I=1,NP
II=NTMNP+I

```

```

550      YWK(I)=Y(I)
      DO 610 NH=1,NH2
      NPPNH=NP+NH
      NTPNH=NT+NH
      IROWLX=NTPNH-NPPNQ
      XTPH=ZERO
      IF(NH.GT.NQ) GO TO 590
      IF(NTPNH.GT.MTWO) GO TO 570
      DO 560 K=NH,NQ
      INDL=NQ-K+1
      INDE=NTPNH-K
560      XTPH=XTPH+ALX2(IROWLX,INDL)*E(INDE)
      GO TO 590
570      DO 580 K=NH,NQ
      INDE=NTPNH-K
580      XTPH=XTPH+BETA(K)*E(INDE)
590      C=XTPH
      DO 600 J=1,NP
      INDY=NPPNH-J
600      C=C-ALPHA(J)*YWK(INDY)
610      YWK(NPPNH)=C
      DO 620 I=NHL,NH2
      N10=NP+I
      N11=I-NHL+1
      N12=NSOFAR+N11
620      YPD(N12)=YWK(N10)
630      CONTINUE
C
C      IF IOPT.EQ.1, FIND PVAR :
C
      IF(IOPT.EQ.0) GO TO 690
      M1NP=MONE-NP
      DO 680 NT=NT1,NT2
      NSOFAR=(NT-NT1)*NPDPT
      DO 680 NH=NHL,NH2
      N1NDX=NSOFAR+NH-NHL+1
      NTPNH=NT+NH
      C=ZERO
      DO 670 KP1=1,NH
      K=KP1-1
      KROW=NTPNH-K
      C1=SIGSO
      IF(KROW.LT.MTWO) C1=DX(KROW)
      C2=ONE
      IF(K.EQ.0) GO TO 670
      NPPK=NP+K
      C2=ALZ(NPPK,NP)
      DO 660 IR=1,K
      KMR=K-IR
      C3=ZERO
      IF(KMR.GT.M1NP) GO TO 640
      INDL=NP+KMR
      C3=ALZ(INDL,NP)
      C4=ZERO
      IF(IR.GT.NQ) GO TO 660
      N10=NTPNH-KMR
      IF(N10.GT.MTWO) GO TO 650
      N11=N10-NPPNQ
      N12=NQ-IR+1
      C4=ALX2(N11,N12)
      GO TO 660

```

```

650      C4=BETA(IH)
660      C2=C2+C3*C4
670      C=C+C1*C2*C2
680      PVAR(NINDX)=C
C
690      CONTINUE
C
C      IF AULT=0
999      RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE MAPD(NQ,BETA,SIGSQ,Y,IOPT,NT1,NT2,NH1,NH2,
NYPD,NPVAR,IROWS,DEL,RX,RX0,DX,ALX,MTWO,E,YPD,PVAR,IAULT)
C
C      THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES PREDICTORS YPD AND
C      (OPTIONALLY) PREDICTION VARIANCES PVAR FOR HORIZONS
C      NH1,...,NH2 EACH FOR MEMORIES NT1,...,NT2.
C
DIMENSION BETA(NQ),Y(NT2),RX(NQ),DX(IROWS),ALX(IROWS,NQ),
IF(NT2),YPD(NYPD),PVAR(NPVAR)
DATA ZERO,ONE,EPS/0.0,1.0,1.E-10/
C
IAULT=1
IF(NQ.LT.1.OR.IOPT.LT.0.OR.IOPT.GT.1) GO TO 199
IAULT=2
IF(NT1.LT.NQ+1.OR.NT1.GT.NT2) GO TO 199
IAULT=3
IF(NH1.LT.1.OR.NH1.GT.NH2) GO TO 199
IAULT=4
NCK=(NT2-NT1+1)*(NH2-NH1+1)
IF(NYPD.LT.NCK) GO TO 199
IF(NPVAR.LT.1) GO TO 199
IF(NPVAR.LT.NCK.AND.IOPT.EQ.1) GO TO 199
IAULT=5
IF(IROWS.LT.2) GO TO 199
IAULT=6
IF(SIGSQ.LE.ZERO) GO TO 199
IAULT=7
C
C      FIND MTWO AND ROWS OF ALX, DX :
C
CALL MACV(NQ,BETA,SIGSQ,RX,RX0,IF1)
C
DX(1)=RX0
DO 10 I=1,NQ
10 ALX(I,1)=ONE
E(1)=Y(1)
IUP=MIN0(IROWS,NT2+NH2)
DO 100 I=2,IUP
II=MAX0(I-NQ-1,0)+1
IMI=I-1
NELTS=IMI-II+1
DO 30 J=II,IMI
JIND=J-II+1
IMJ=I-J
JM1=J-1
C=RX(IMJ)
IF(IJ.EQ.II) GO TO 30
JI=MAX0(J-NQ-1,0)+1
DO 20 L=II,JM1
LL=L-(I+1)
JJ=L-JI+1

```

```

20      C=C-ALX(I,LL)*DX(L)*ALX(J,JJ)
30      ALX(I,JIND)=C/DX(J)
C=RX0
      DO 40 J=1,I
      JIND=J-I+1
40      C=C-DX(J)*ALX(I,JIND)*ALX(I,JEND)
      IF(C.LE.EPS) GO TO 199
      DX(I)=C
      IF(I.GT.NT2) GO TO 60
      C=Y(I)
      DO 50 J=1,NELTS
      IJ=I-NELTS+J-1
50      C=C-ALX(I,J)*E(IJ)
      E(I)=C
      IF(I.LE.NQ) GO TO 100
60      DO 70 J=1,NQ
      JJ=NQ-J+1
70      IF(ABS(ALX(I,J)-BETA(JJ)).GE.DEL) GO TO 100
      IF(ABS(DX(I)-SIGSQ).GE.DEL) GO TO 100
      MTWO=I
      IF(I.GE.NT2) GO TO 110
      IP1=I+1
      DO 90 J=IP1,NT2
      C=Y(J)
      DO 80 K=1,NQ
      JMK=J-K
80      C=C-BETA(K)*E(JMK)
90      E(J)=C
      GO TO 120
100     CONTINUE
      IFault=8
      IF(IUP.LT.NT2+NH2) GO TO 199
110     MTWO=NT2+NH2
120     IFault=0

```

C
C CALCULATE PREDICTORS :

```

C
NPDPT=NH2-NH1+1
DO 140 NT=NT1,NT2
NSOFAr=(NT-NT1)*NPDPT
      DO 140 NH=NH1,NH2
      NIND=NSOFAr+NH-NH1+1
      YPD(NIND)=ZERO
      IF(NH.GT.NQ) GO TO 140
      NTPNH=NT+NH
      C=ZERO
      DO 130 K=NH,NQ
      INDE=NTPNH-K
      INDL=NQ-K+1
      C1=BETA(K)
      IF(NTPNH.LE.MTWO) C1=ALX(NTPNH,INDL)
130     C=C+C1*E(INDE)
140     YPD(NIND)=C

```

C
C IF IOPT=1, CALCULATE VARIANCES :

```

C
      IF(IOPT.EQ.0) GO TO 199
      DO 180 NT=NT1,NT2
      NSOFAr=(NT-NT1)*NPDPT
      DO 180 NH=NH1,NH2
      NHM1=NH-1

```

```

NIND=NSOFAR+NH-NH1+1
NTPNH=NT+NH
C=SIGSQ
IF(NT.LT.MTW0) C=DX(NTPNH)
IF(NH.EQ.1) GO TO 180
NHUP=MIND(NHM1,NQ)
IF(NT.GE.MTW0) GO TO 160
DO 150 K=1,NHUP
INDD=NTPNH-K
INDL=NQ-K+1
150 C=C+DX(INDD)*ALX(NTPNH,INDL)*ALX(NTPNH,INDL)
GO TO 180
160 DO 170 K=1,NHUP
170 C=C+SIGSQ*BETA(K)*BETA(K)
180 PVAR(NIND)=C
C
199 RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE ARP0(NP,ALPHA,SIGSQ,Y,IOPt,NT1,NT2,NH1,NH2,
NYPD,NPPNH2,YWK,GAM,YPD,PVAR,IFault)
C
C THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES PREDICTORS YPD AND (OPTIONALLY)
C PREDICTION VARIANCES PVAR FOR HORIZONS NH1,...,NH2 EACH
C FOR MEMORIES NT1,...,NT2
C
DIMENSION Y(NT2),ALPHA(NP),YWK(NPPNH2),GAM(NPPNH2),
YPD(NYPD),PVAR(NH2)
DATA ZERO,ONE/0.0,1.0/
C
IFault=1
IF(NP.LT.1) GO TO 100
IFault=2
IF(NT1.LE.NP.OR.NT1.GT.NT2) GO TO 100
IFault=3
IF(NH1.LT.1.OR.NH1.GT.NH2) GO TO 100
IFault=4
IF(SIGSQ.LE.ZERO) GO TO 100
IFault=5
IF(IOPt.LT.0.OR.IOPt.GT.1) GO TO 100
IFault=6
NCK=(NT2-NT1+1)*(NH2-NH1+1)
IF(NYPD.LT.NCK.OR.NPPNH2.LT.NP+NH2) GO TO 100
IFault=0
C
C FIND PREDICTIONS :
C
NPDPt=NH2-NH1+1
DO 50 NT=NT1,NT2
NSOFAR=(NT-NT1)*NPDPt
NTMNP=NT-NP
DO 10 I=1,NP
I=NT-NP+I
10 YWK(I)=Y(I)
DO 30 NH=1,NH2
NPPNH=NP+NH
NTPNH=NT+NH
C=ZERO
DO 20 I=1,NP
I=NPPNH-I
20 C=C-ALPHA(I)*YWK(I)
30 YWK(NPPNH)=C

```

```

      DO 40 NH=NH1,NH2
      INDNH=NSOFA+NH-NH1+1
      INDWK=NP+NH
      40 YPO(INDNH)=YWK(INDWK)
      50 CONTINUE
C
C   IF IOPT=1, FIND VARIANCES :
C
      IF(IOPT.EQ.0) GO TO 100
      GAM(1)=-ALPHA(1)
      IF(NH2.EQ.1) GO TO 80
      DO 70 NH=2,NH2
      LLOW=MAX0(0,NH-NP)+1
      C=ZERO
      DO 60 LL=LLOW,NH
      L=LL-1
      C1=ONE
      IF(L.GT.0) C1=GAM(L)
      NHML=NH-L
      60 C=C-ALPHA(NHML)*C1
      70 GAM(NH)=C
      80 PVAR(1)=SIGSQ
      IF(NH2.EQ.1) GO TO 100
      DO 90 I=2,NH2
      IMI=I-1
      90 PVAR(I)=PVAR(IMI)+SIGSQ*GAM(IMI)*GAM(IMI)
      100 RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE MATV(NQ,BETA,SIGSQ,RY,RY0,IFault)
C
C   THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES MA(NQ) AUTOCOVARIANCES OF LAGS
C   0,...,NQ (NQ.GT.0)
C
      DIMENSION BETA(NQ),RY(NQ)
      DATA ONE/1.0/
C
      IFault=1
      IF(NQ.LT.1) GO TO 40
      IFault=0
C
      C=ONE
      DO 10 I=1,NQ
      10 C=C+BETA(I)*BETA(I)
      RY0=C*SIGSQ
C
      DO 30 IV=1,NQ
      C=BETA(IV)
      IF(IV.EQ.NQ) GO TO 30
      NQMIV=NQ-IV
      DO 20 J=1,NQMIV
      JPIV=J+IV
      20 C=C+BETA(J)*BETA(JPIV)
      30 RY(IV)=C*SIGSQ
      40 RETURN
      END
      SUBROUTINE MXCV(NP,NQ,M,IRWS,ALPHA,BETA,SIGSQ,RYE,RYEO,
      IWK,IP,RY,RY0,IFault)
C
C   THIS SUBROUTINE CALCULATES ARMA(NP,NQ) AUTOCOVARIANCES FOR
C   LAGS 0,...,M (M.GE.MAX(NP,NQ), NP,NQ.GT.0) :
C

```

```

DIMENSION ALPHA(NP),BETA(NQ),RYE(NQ),WKM(1ROWS,1ROWS),
1IP(1ROWS),RY(M)
DATA ONE,ZERO/1.0,0.0/

C
  IFAULT=1
  IF(NU.LT.1.OR.NP.LT.1) GO TO 110
  IFAULT=2
  MAXPQ=MAX0(NP,NQ)
  MM=MAXPQ+1
  IF(M.LE.MAXPQ) GO TO 110
  IFAULT=3
  IF(1ROWS.LT.MM) GO TO 110
  IFAULT=4

C
C FIND RYE0,RYE(1),...,RYE(NQ) :
C
  RYE0=SIGSQ
  DO 30 IV=1,NQ
    C=SIGSQ*BETA(IV)
    NUP=MIN0(IV,NP)
    DO 20 J=1,NUP
      IVMJ=IV-J
      IF(IVMJ.EQ.0) GO TO 10
      C=C-ALPHA(J)*RYE(IVMJ)
      GO TO 20
  10   C=C-ALPHA(J)*RYE0
  20   CONTINUE
  30   RYE(IV)=C

C
C USE DECOMP, SOLV TO OBTAIN RY0,RY(1),...,RY(MAX(NP,NQ)) :
C
  DO 40 IV=1,MM
    RY(IV)=ZERO
    DO 40 J=1,MM
  40   WKM(IV,J)=ZERO

C
  NPP1=NP+1
  NOP1=NQ+1
  DO 60 IVPI=1,NOP1
    IV=IVPI-1
    C=RYE0
    IF(IV.GT.0) C=C*BETA(IV)
    IF(IV.EQ.NQ) GO TO 60
    DO 50 K=IVPI,NQ
      KMIV=K-IV
    50   C=C+BETA(K)*RYE(KMIV)
  60   RY(IVPI)=C

C
  DO 70 IVPI=1,MM
    IV=IVPI-1
    WKM(IVPI,IVPI)=WKM(IVPI,IVPI)+ONE
    DO 70 J=1,NP
      II=ABS(IV-J)+1
  70   WKM(IVPI,II)=WKM(IVPI,II)+ALPHA(J)

C
  CALL DECOMP(MM,1ROWS,WKM,IP)
  IF(IP(MM).EQ.0) GO TO 110
  IFAULT=0
  CALL SOLV(MM,1ROWS,WKM,RY,IP)
  RY0=RY(1)
  DO 80 IV=1,MAXPQ

```

```
IVP1=IV+1
80 RY(IV)=RY(IPV1)
C
C USE DIFFERENCE EQUATION TO GET THE REST OF THE RY :
C
IF(M.EQ.MAXPQ) GO TO 110
DO 100 IV=MM,M
C=ZERO
    DO 90 J=1,NP
        IVMJ=IV-J
        C=C-ALPHA(J)*RY(IVMJ)
90      RY(IV)=C
100
C
110 RETURN
END
```

END

DATE
FILMED

10-81

DTIC